UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

PAMELLA MONTGOMERY, on behalf of Herself and for the Benefit of All with the Common or General Interest, Any Persons Injured, and All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

VS.

KRAFT FOODS GLOBAL, INC., a Delaware Corporation; and STARBUCKS CORPORATION, a Washington Corporation,

Defendants.

Civil Case No. 1:12-CV-00149-GJQ

PLAINTIFF'S INDIVIDUAL
RESPONSE TO RULE 68 OFFER
OF JUDGMENT AND RESERVATION
OF APPELLATE RIGHTS

PLAINTIFF PAMELLA MONTGOMERY'S INDIVIDUAL RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' JOINT OFFER OF JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO FRCP 68 EXPRESSLY RESERVING RIGHT TO APPEAL DENIAL OF CLASS CERTIFICATION

NOW COMES Plaintiff, Pamella Montgomery, individually, through counsel, and hereby timely responds to Defendants' Joint Offer of Judgment, served on Plaintiff on September 18, 2014, stating as follows:

- 1. In this Michigan Consumer Protection Case, Plaintiff Dr. Montgomery has alleged claims individually and on behalf of the alleged putative class;
- 2. On or about August 20, 2013 Plaintiff filed her First Motion for Class Certification, which Motion the Court denied by entry of a written Order and Opinion on May 9, 2014;
- 3. Since the denial of class certification, the parties have on multiple occasions conferred and specifically contemplated resolution of Plaintiff's individual claims while preserving Plaintiff's right to appeal the denial of class certification;

4. On September 18, 2014, Plaintiff's counsel received "Defendants' Joint Rule 68

Offer of Judgment for Plaintiff Pamela Montgomery," offering judgment in the amount of \$250.00

together with attorney fees and costs to be determined by the Court, as resolution of Plaintiff's

individual claims:

5. Based upon the foregoing facts and circumstances, Plaintiff hereby ACCEPTS

Defendant's Joint Rule 68 Offer of Judgment for Plaintiff Pamela [sic] Montgomery with the

express qualification that Plaintiff's acceptance does not constitute a waiver of the right to appeal

the Court's denial of class certification;

6. Plaintiff's Acceptance is intended to apply to her individual claims only, and is

neither intended nor should it be construed as waiving or settling Plaintiff's appellate rights as to

the issue of the Court's denial of class certification;

7. Plaintiff's Acceptance is not intended to divest or otherwise affect any Appellate

Circuit's jurisdiction to review the issue of the Court's denial of class certification;

Dated: 01 October 2014

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Timothy McCarthy/

Timothy H. McCarthy Jr. (P74698)

tim@mccarthy-group.net

MCCARTHY LAW GROUP P.C.

3905 Raleigh Drive

Okemos, Michigan 48864-3643

Telephone: (517) 977-1880

Facsimile: (517) 913-5971

tim@mccarthy-group.net

Counsel for Plaintiff Dr. Montgomery

2